

Message

---

**From:** Scharf, Steven (DEC) [steven.scharf@dec.ny.gov]  
**Sent:** 1/7/2016 9:06:30 PM  
**To:** Stein, Carol [Stein.Carol@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: OU-2 groundwater - Going over the data  
  
**Flag:** Follow up

The multi-million dollar question! Ah do believe that should be comin' soon!

---

**From:** Stein, Carol [mailto:Stein.Carol@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 07, 2016 4:03 PM  
**To:** Scharf, Steven (DEC); Wilkie, Henry (DEC)  
**Subject:** RE: OU-2 groundwater - Going over the data

Sounds like a plan. So, my follow-up question is whether DEC has given the Navy the go-ahead to do the study?

Thanks,  
Carol

---

**From:** Scharf, Steven (DEC) [mailto:steven.scharf@dec.ny.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 07, 2016 3:46 PM  
**To:** Stein, Carol <Stein.Carol@epa.gov>; Wilkie, Henry (DEC) <henry.wilkie@dec.ny.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: OU-2 groundwater - Going over the data

That's why we need to proceed with the Navy's 6-2 Pilot test with the BWD wells.

---

**From:** Stein, Carol [mailto:Stein.Carol@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 07, 2016 3:35 PM  
**To:** Wilkie, Henry (DEC)  
**Cc:** Scharf, Steven (DEC)  
**Subject:** OU-2 groundwater - Going over the data

Good afternoon Henry. I'm not sure if you (or Steve) have had the chance to look at the newest groundwater data that the Navy's contractor has sent for the OU-2 study area, and in particular the *Hotspot 108* area. When you have the chance, I would be interested in discussing.

It looks like the concentration of the plume for that hotspot is moving vertically downward in the water table. For instance, the data from the Hotspot Investigation Report (attached) shows concentrations of TCE at RE120D3 to be 0.74 ug/l. Whereas the most recent data of 09-28-15 (ref:3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter Validated Data transmitted Dec'15) shows concentrations of TCE at RE120D3 to be 120 ug/l. The same is happening for RE105D2 (though the change is not at as great a magnitude).

Thanks,  
Carol